

Review of different approaches to understanding the phenomenon of diffraction

A. Hebecker

Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Heidelberg
Philosophenweg 16, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Abstract

This brief review of hard diffraction is focussed on the theory of the diffractive structure function F_2^D . Some aspects of diffractive vector meson production and of diffractive processes in hadron-hadron collisions are also discussed.

1. Introduction

With the advent of HERA, deep inelastic scattering (DIS) at very small x became experimentally viable. One of the most interesting phenomena observed in this kinematical domain are large rapidity gap events. In these events, a diffractive final state with mass M is well separated in rapidity from the elastically scattered proton or its low-mass excitation. At small values of the Bjorken variable $x \simeq Q^2/W^2$ (where $Q^2 = -q^2$ is the virtuality of the exchanged photon and W^2 is the invariant mass square of the photon-proton collision) these events form a leading twist contribution to DIS, which is described by the diffractive structure function F_2^D (for recent results see [1]).

Hard diffraction was previously observed in hadron-hadron collisions [2]. However, diffractive DIS has the advantage of being simpler since only one initial state hadron is involved. Thus, HERA has triggered considerable renewed interest in theoretical approaches to diffraction.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 diffractive DIS is discussed in the rest frame of the target proton. Section 3 is devoted to the Breit frame, where the proton is fast and a parton model description is appropriate. The relation between these two approaches is analyzed in Sect. 4. Aspects of diffractive vector meson production in DIS and of diffractive processes in hadron-hadron collisions are discussed in Sects. 6 and 7 respectively. Some open problems are mentioned in Sect. 8.

2. Aligned jet model and its modern versions

The aligned jet model [3] is based on a qualitative picture of DIS in the target rest frame, where

the incoming virtual photon can be described as a superposition of partonic states. The large virtuality Q^2 sets the scale, so that states with low- $p_\perp$ partons, i.e., aligned configurations, are suppressed in the photon wave function. However, in contrast to high- $p_\perp$ configurations, these aligned states have a large interaction cross section with the proton. Therefore, their contribution to DIS is expected to be of leading twist. Since the above low- $p_\perp$ configurations represent transversely extended, hadron-like objects, which have a large elastic cross section with the proton, part of this leading twist contribution is diffractive.

The above intuitive picture was implemented in the framework of perturbative QCD in [4], where the colour singlet exchange between the proton and the $q\bar{q}$ fluctuation of the γ^* is realized by two gluons. A further essential step is the inclusion of higher Fock states in the photon wave function. In the framework of two gluon exchange, corresponding calculations for the $q\bar{q}g$ state were performed in [5]. The main shortcoming of the two-gluon approach is the lacking justification of perturbation theory. As should be clear from the qualitative discussion of the aligned jet model, the diffractive kinematics is such that the t channel colour singlet exchange does not feel the hard scale of the initial photon. Thus, more than two gluons can be exchanged without suppression by powers of α_s .

This problem can be systematically addressed in the semiclassical approach [6], where the interaction with the target is modelled as the scattering off a superposition of soft colour fields. In the high-energy limit, the eikonal approximation can be used. Diffraction occurs if both the target and the partonic fluctuation of the photon remain in a colour singlet state. Thus, both the diffractive

and inclusive DIS cross section can be calculated if a model for the wave functional of the proton is provided.

3. From partonic pomeron to diffractive parton distribution functions

It is tempting to interpret the quasi-elastic high-energy scattering of photon fluctuation and proton in terms of pomeron exchange, thus introducing a soft energy dependence. A more direct way of applying the concept of the soft pomeron to hard diffraction was suggested in [7]. Essentially, one assumes that the pomeron can, like a real hadron, be characterized by a parton distribution. This distribution is assumed to factorize from the pomeron trajectory and the pomeron-proton-proton vertex, which are both obtained from the analysis of purely soft hadronic reactions. The problem with this approach is the lacking justification of the pomeron idea and the factorization assumption in QCD.

The concept of fracture functions [8] or, more specifically, the diffractive parton distributions of [9] provide a framework for the study of diffractive DIS that is firmly rooted in perturbative QCD. In short, diffractive parton distributions are conditional probabilities. A diffractive parton distribution $df_i^D(y, \xi, t)/d\xi dt$ describes the probability of finding, in a fast moving proton, a parton i with momentum fraction y , under the additional requirement that the proton remains intact while being scattered with invariant momentum transfer t and losing a small fraction $\xi = x_P$ of its longitudinal momentum. Thus, the corresponding γ^*p cross section can be written as [10]

$$\frac{d\sigma(x, Q^2, \xi, t)^{\gamma^*p \rightarrow p'X}}{d\xi dt} \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_i \int_x^\xi dy \hat{\sigma}(x, Q^2, y)^{\gamma^*i} \left(\frac{df_i^D(y, \xi, t)}{d\xi dt} \right),$$

where $\hat{\sigma}(x, Q^2, y)^{\gamma^*i}$ is the total cross section for the scattering of a virtual photon characterized by x and Q^2 and a parton of type i carrying a fraction y of the proton momentum. The above factorization formula holds in the limit $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ with x , ξ and t fixed. Factorization proofs were given in [11] in the framework of a simple scalar model and in [12] in full QCD.

As in inclusive DIS, there are infrared divergences in the partonic cross sections and ultraviolet divergences in the parton distributions. Thus, a dependence on the factorization scale μ appears both in the parton distributions and

in the partonic cross sections. The claim that Eq. (1) holds to all orders implies that these μ dependences cancel, as is well known in the case of conventional parton distributions. Therefore, the diffractive distributions obey the usual DGLAP evolution equations.

4. Target rest frame vs. Breit frame

It is instructive to compare the two different approaches to diffractive DIS presented in Sections 2 and 3, which correspond to the target rest frame and the Breit frame respectively. The relation between these different points of view was discussed in [5, 13]. An explicit calculation demonstrating how diffractive parton distributions arise from a target rest frame perspective was given in [14].

In the rest frame of the proton, the incoming γ^* fluctuates into a $q\bar{q}$ pair before it reaches the target. The leading twist diffractive contribution comes from small- $p_\perp$, aligned configurations. These configurations are asymmetric, i.e., one of the two quarks carries most of photon momentum, while the other quark is relatively soft. Kinematically, the energetic quark does not feel the soft colour fields of the proton. Boosting this physical picture to a frame where the proton is fast, e.g., the Breit frame, the soft quark changes its direction: now the fast colour field of the proton creates a colour singlet $q\bar{q}$ pair; one of the quarks scatters off the γ^* in a familiar partonic process ($\gamma^*q \rightarrow q$); the produced quark is treated as a free final state particle. This quark corresponds to the energetic quark from the $q\bar{q}$ fluctuation of the photon in the target rest frame.

Analogously, the process based on a $q\bar{q}g$ fluctuation of the γ^* can be reinterpreted in the Breit frame as boson-gluon fusion with the gluon coming from the diffractive gluon distribution.

The above picture shows that models for the proton color field can be translated into diffractive parton distributions at some low starting scale, and a standard DGLAP analysis can be used to compare to data. In ref. [15], a small colour dipole was used as a model for the proton and diffractive parton distributions were calculated using operator definitions. In ref. [16], the analysis was based on formulae for parton distributions from [14] and a large hadron model was used. Both analyses describe the data well. In spite of the different models for the target, common qualitative features emerge: in both cases the gluon distribution is dominant and falls off in the limit $y/\xi \rightarrow 1$.

5. Energy growth; higher twist; final states

The energy growth of diffraction, i.e., the behaviour in the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$, is one of the less well understood

aspects of the process. Phenomenologically, the small- ξ behaviour of F_2^D is very similar to the small- x behaviour of F_2 [17]. Attributing the energy growth to the soft colour field dynamics of the proton, this relation can be easily understood in the semiclassical approach [6]. However, no explicit derivation of the ξ dependence has been given.

Motivated by the idea of saturation, an energy or x dependence in a Glauber-type expression for the dipole cross section $\sigma(\rho)$ is introduced in [18]. A similar energy dependence of diffractive and inclusive DIS is found.

In perturbation theory, the growth of the diffractive cross section for $\xi \rightarrow 0$ is ascribed to BFKL dynamics. However, the applicability of perturbative methods is questionable and naive perturbative estimates tend to give a small- ξ behaviour that is too steep. Nevertheless, with a certain number of parameters a good description of the data can be achieved [19].

Higher twist effects in F_2^D are particularly important in the region where $\beta = x/\xi \rightarrow 1$. This corresponds to the well-known higher-twist problem in inclusive DIS at large x (for phenomenological implications of higher twist contributions see, e.g., [20]).

It has been proposed to use final states with charm or high- $p_\perp$ jets to keep the t channel colour singlet exchange hard and therefore calculable in the two-gluon approximation (see, e.g., [21]). However, this approach has the problem that high- $p_\perp$ or charmed final states can also arise from $q\bar{q}g$ fluctuations of the γ^* , which are non-perturbative as far as the t channel exchange is concerned.

6. Elastic vector meson production

Diffractive processes where the t channel colour singlet exchange is governed by a hard scale include the electroproduction of heavy vector mesons [22], electroproduction of light vector mesons in the case of longitudinal polarization [23] or at large t [24], and virtual Compton scattering [25, 26]. In the leading logarithmic approximation, the relevant two-gluon form factor of the proton can be related to the inclusive gluon distribution [22]. Accordingly, a very steep energy dependence of the cross section, which is now proportional to the square of the gluon distribution, is expected.

To go beyond leading logarithmic accuracy, the non-zero momentum transferred to the proton has to be taken into account. This requires the use of ‘non-forward’ or ‘off-diagonal’ parton distributions (see [25] and refs. therein), which were discussed in [26] within the present context. Although their scale dependence is predicted by well-known

evolution equations, only limited information about the relevant input distributions is available (see, however, [27] for possibilities of predicting the non-forward from the forward distribution functions).

The perturbative calculations of meson electroproduction discussed above were put on a firmer theoretical basis by the factorization proof of [28]. It was also shown that in the case of transverse polarization the cross section is suppressed by a power of Q^2 in the high- Q^2 limit. However, present data do not support this expectation.

As an alternative to the description of the final state meson in terms of a light-cone wave function, it was suggested to approach both transversely and longitudinally polarized diffractive ρ production on the basis of open $q\bar{q}$ production combined with the idea of parton-hadron duality [29]. A non-perturbative treatment of the t channel exchange is used in [30].

An interesting new field, closely related to exclusive vector meson production, are the semi-exclusive processes discussed in [31].

7. Diffraction in hadron-hadron collisions

The two main types of hard diffraction in hadron-hadron scattering are high- $p_\perp$ jet production where one or both incoming hadrons remain intact and high- $p_\perp$ jets with a rapidity gap between the jets.

The first of these two processes is the original process of hard diffraction [2] for which the concept of the partonic pomeron was designed [7]. However, the underlying factorization assumption is not expected to be precise in QCD (cf. the model calculation of [9]). Indeed, the attempt to to apply diffractive parton distributions measured at HERA to hard diffraction at the Tevatron shows very substantial factorization breaking [32].

Intuitively, the breakdown of diffractive factorization in hadron-hadron collisions is easily understood since the remnants of the initial state hadrons can interact with the final state particles. This is in contrast to the DIS case, where no γ^* remnant exists. Concepts like ‘gap survival probability’ and ‘pomeron flux renormalization’ have been invoked for the description of the effect [33], but no accepted theoretical approach has emerged so far.

Processes with two high- $p_\perp$ jets and a large gap without hadronic activity in between have been investigated as a possible probe for BFKL dynamics [34]. The main idea is that processes of this type proceed dominantly with colour singlet exchange between the high- $p_\perp$ partons. One faces again the gap survival problem. Recently, it has been proposed to avoid this problem by defining the

gap in terms of transverse energy flow rather than in terms of multiplicity [35].

Note also that in a recent development of the soft-colour Monte Carlo method the colour exchange is described on the level of strings rather than on the partonic level [36], and a combined description of hard diffraction in DIS and in hadron-hadron collisions is achieved [37].

8. Open problems

One of the most interesting problems in diffractive DIS is the asymptotic energy or ξ dependence of F_2^D and its possible relation to the small- x limit of DIS. There is good reason to expect a close connection between these two limits, but no definitive answer has yet been provided. Furthermore, an analysis of F_2^D including both DGLAP evolution and higher twist effects is urgently needed. In vector meson production, the apparent disagreement of the QCD expectation of a $1/Q^2$ suppression of the transverse cross section with data has to be explained. Finally, a quantitative, QCD based understanding of the gap survival problem in hadron-hadron collisions has to be developed.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the organizers of EPS-HEP 99 and, in particular, the conveners of the diffractive session, V. Del Duca and M. Erdmann, for their invitation to present this review.

References

- [1] H1 collab., C. Adloff et al., Z. Phys. C76 (1997) 613;
ZEUS collab., J. Breitweg et al., Eur. Phys. J. C6 (1999) 43
- [2] UA8 collab., A. Brandt et al., Phys. Lett. B297 (1992) 417
- [3] J.D. Bjorken and J.B. Kogut, Phys. Rev. D8 (1973) 1341
- [4] N.N. Nikolaev and B.G. Zakharov, Z. Phys. C49 (1991) 607
- [5] N.N. Nikolaev and B.G. Zakharov, Z. Phys. C64 (1994) 631
- [6] W. Buchmüller, M.F. McDermott and A. Hebecker, Nucl. Phys. B487 (1997) 283
- [7] G. Ingelman and P. Schlein, Phys. Lett. B152 (1985) 256;
A. Donnachie and P.V. Landshoff, Phys. Lett. B191 (1987) 309
- [8] L. Trentadue and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B323 (1994) 201
- [9] A. Berera and D.E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 4328
- [10] A. Berera and D.E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D53 (1996) 6162
- [11] M. Grazzini, L. Trentadue and G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B519 (1998) 394
- [12] J.C. Collins, Phys. Rev. D57 (1998) 3051
- [13] H. Abramowicz, L. Frankfurt and M. Strikman, Proc. of the *SLAC Summer Institute 1994* p. 539
- [14] A. Hebecker, Nucl. Phys. B505 (1997) 349
- [15] F. Hautmann, Z. Kunszt and D.E. Soper, CERN-TH/99-154 (hep-ph/9906284)
- [16] W. Buchmüller, T. Gehrmann and A. Hebecker, Nucl. Phys. B537 (1999) 477
- [17] W. Buchmüller, Phys. Lett. B353 (1995) 335
- [18] K. Golec-Biernat and M. Wüsthoff, preprint DTP/99/20 (hep-ph/9903358)
- [19] S. Munier, R. Peschanski and C. Royon, Nucl. Phys. B534 (1998) 297
- [20] M. Bertini et al., Phys. Lett. B422 (1998) 238;
J. Bartels et al., Eur. Phys. J. C7 (1999) 443
- [21] M. Genovese, N.N. Nikolaev and B.G. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B378 (1996) 347;
J. Bartels, H. Lotter and M. Wüsthoff, Phys. Lett. B379 (1996) 239
- [22] M.G. Ryskin, Z. Phys. C57 (1993) 89
- [23] S.J. Brodsky et al., Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 3134
- [24] J.R. Forshaw, M.G. Ryskin, Z. Phys. C68 (1995) 137
- [25] D. Müller et al., Fortsch. Phys. 42 (1994) 101
- [26] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 610;
A.V. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B380 (1996) 417
- [27] A.G. Shuvaev, K.J. Golec-Biernat, A.D. Martin and M.G. Ryskin, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 014015
- [28] J.C. Collins, L. Frankfurt and M. Strikman, Phys. Rev. D56 (1997) 2982
- [29] A.D. Martin, M.G. Ryskin and T. Teubner, Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 4329
- [30] H.G. Dosch, T. Gousset, G. Kulzinger and H.J. Pirner, Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 2602
- [31] S.J. Brodsky, M. Diehl, P. Hoyer and S. Peigne, Phys. Lett. B449 (1999) 306
- [32] L. Alvero et al., Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 074022
- [33] J.D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D47 (1993) 101;
K. Goulianos, Phys. Lett. B358 (1995) 379;
E. Gotsman, E. Levin and U. Maor, preprint TAUP-2561-99 (hep-ph/9902294)
- [34] A.H. Mueller and W.K. Tang, Phys. Lett. B284 (1992) 123;
V. Del Duca and W.K. Tang, Phys. Lett. B312 (1993) 225
- [35] G. Oderda and G. Sterman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 3591
- [36] J. Rathsman, Phys. Lett. B452 (1999) 364
- [37] G. Ingelman et al., talk at *DIS99*, Zeuthen, 1999